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ABSTRACT

Background: Regulatory T Cells (Treg) are the subgroups of lymphocytes that control inflammatory responses and regulate homeostasis processes through cellular contact and the secretion of soluble agents. We investigated the frequency of Treg in peripheral blood of sulfur mustard exposed patients with CD4, CD25, CD127, FOXP3, and CD45RA markers, compared with healthy individuals and the correlation between frequency of these cells and long-term pulmonary complications.

Materials and Methods: In total, 12 sulfur mustard exposed patients and 12 healthy volunteers were invited. Clinical inspections of both groups were performed by pulmonary specialists and spyrometric evaluation that pulmonary function test operators conducted. After the isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells, the frequency of Treg cells was determined by flowcytometry.

Results: The frequency of Treg cells was not significantly different in those exposed to sulfur mustard. Furthermore, there was no significant correlation between spyrometric parameters and the frequency of these cells.

Conclusion: According to the current study data, there is no difference in the frequency of Treg cells between exposed patients with mild pulmonary complications and healthy volunteers. Thus, further studies are required to understand the role of these cells in the severity of pulmonary complications of these patients.
1. Introduction

Sulfur Mustard (SM) is a chemical alkylating warfare agent, absorbed through inhalation, skin, eye, gastrointestinal tract contact, and contaminated food consumption [1, 2]. SM was applied in the Iran-Iraq conflict and left numerous victims in Iran suffering from its adverse and inevitable effects on their quality of life. Despite international prohibitions, many new victims remain globally [3-5]. SM exposure results in both acute and chronic inflammatory complications. Early complications appear in the first week and delayed complications 10 to 15 years later, even after long years. Symptoms manifest by various ocular, cutaneous and pulmonary [6, 7].

The respiratory disorder is a prevalent problem among SM-affected people. According to Iran Sardasht’s study, approximately 80% of exposed people about 16-20 years after mustard gas exposure suffered from chronic Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases (COPD) symptoms (including cough, phlegm, chest pain, & dyspnea) [6-10]. Moreover, SM has a carcinogenic character and may raise the risk of lung cancer in SM exposed patients [11]. However, the mechanism of chronic injury remains unestablished. Different studies demonstrated that dysregulated immune system response might contribute to uncontrolled inflammation in these patients as a most threatening problem. Indeed, change in pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as TGf-β, IL-6, IL-17, IL-8, IL-1β, IL-12 [Tumor growth factor (TGfβ)], Interlukine (IL-g), and the frequency of some immune cells such as CTL, CD4+Th1, Th2, Th17 [cytotoxic t cell (CTL), CD4+T helper (Th1)] supported this statement [12-17].

Treg cells are subgroups of T cells that play a crucial role in maintaining immune homeostasis by suppressing and regulating cytokine secretion and proliferation of other cell types by different mechanisms [18, 19]. Various studies focused on Treg Impairment in the context of chronic inflammatory diseases such as COPD and autoimmune diseases [20]. Additionally, they attempted to manipulate their impairment and develop therapies for inflammation regulation, which have addressed the possible relation between chronic inflammation and Treg frequency in sulfur mustard patients. The frequency of Treg in other studies was evaluated and indicated an increase. Still, Treg is only characterized by staining for CD4 and FOXP3 markers because of the transitory expression of FOXP3 by other T cells. Not only might distinguishing FOXP3 by other T cells be difficult but the Treg fraction might also be underestimated [21]. However, the definition of Treg is controversial in various studies. We assessed the Treg cells’ frequency for the first time with the most common combinations of markers such as CD4, CD25, CD127, FOXP3, and CD45RA; Whish seems to subgroup Treg based on activation status.

2. Materials and Methods

Overall, 12 patients were selected from the male individuals exposed to SM in the Iran-Iraq war, and an experienced pulmonologist examined respiratory signs and symptoms. All patients presented mild to moderate pulmonary involvement based on the Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). 12 sex-matched control subjects were enrolled in this study too. All the study subjects’ ages ranged from 35-65 years. The study participants were ineligible or excluded from the study if they had any of the following conditions: 1) acute or chronic infection, 2) recent treatment with immuno-suppressive drugs, 3) age <35 and >65 years, 4), and a history of smoking.

This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of Shahed University (Shahed.REC.13961218). Before participation, informed consent was provided from all the subjects involved in the study.

Lung function tests

Sputometry was performed in all patients according to the American Thoracic Society under the supervision of a trained nurse before the blood samples collection [22]. The explored patients were seated on a comfortable chair, received standardized instructions from the nurse, and requested forced expiratory maneuvers. The Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) and Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second (FEV1) were measured three times, and the best recordings were selected.

Sample preparation

In total, 2.5 mL peripheral blood samples from each subject were collected in Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic Acid (EDTA)-treated tubes. Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) were isolated according to milteny Biotec PBMC isolation protocol with slight differences in using Ficoll (Lymphodex; Inno-Train Diagnostik, Kronberg, Germany) density gradient centrifugation. Blood samples were diluted 1:2 with PBS, layered onto Ficoll, and centrifuged at 400g for 30 min at room temperature. The PBMC layer was collected, washed, and centrifuged at 300g for 10 min at 4 °C. Next, the cells...
were centrifuged at 200g for 10 min at 4 °C, and viable counts were obtained using Trypan blue.

**Flow cytometry analysis**

To detect Tregs using flow cytometry, the following monoclonal antibodies were used: anti-CD4-Alexafluor 700 (clone L200), anti-CD25-APC (clone M-A251), anti-CD45RA-Percp cy5.5 (cloneHI100), anti-CD127-BB515 (cloneHIL-7R-M21), anti-FOXP3-PE (clone 259D/C7), all monoclonal antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA). Before use, all Abs were titrated to find the proper antibody concentration. Immunostaining was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Briefly, to exclude dead cells, 1 μL fixable viability stain 780 (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) was added to 106 PBMCs after two steps of washing cells, and the cells were labeled at 4 °C for 30 min with the antibodies for surface markers; subsequently, the cells were washed twice and resuspended in staining buffer (PBS-FBS 2% [Phosphate Buffered Saline with 2% Fetal Bovine Serum]). For Intracellular staining, the cells were then fixed and permeabilized using a transcription buffer set (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) washed two times with BD Biosciences Permeabilization buffer, and incubated with anti-FOXP3-PE at 4°C for 30 min until sample measurement using the flow cytometer. Fluorescence Minus One (FMO) controls for CD25 and CD45RA were used to distinguish the positive populations. To accurately compensate spectral overlap across channels. Compensation controls for each fluorochrom were prepared using Onecomp beads (ebioscience, USA).

Data were acquired on an Attune nxt flow cytometer (ThermoFisher, USA) and were analyzed using the Flowjo software vX (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). The gating strategy for detecting Treg cells and their subsets is presented in Figure 1.

The Treg cells’ absolute count was calculated by multiplying the frequency of interest obtained using flow cytometry by the lymphocyte count in Cell Blood Count (CBC) report prepared on the hematology analyzer (Sysmex XS-500i, Hyogo, Japan) divided by 100.

SPSS was used to analyze the acquired data. As a non-parametric statistical test, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient test was applied for potential associations between Spyrometric parameter and Treg frequency. Independent Student’s t-test was used to determine significant differences between the variables as a parametric test. Results were expressed as mean plus-minus Standard Deviation (Mean±SD). Statistical significance difference was considered P<0.05.

**3. Results**

This study investigated the frequency of Treg in sulfur mustard exposed patients and compared it with that of healthy volunteers. To determine the absolute count of Treg, we first measured the WBC and differential (i.e., platelet, neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, eosinophil) counts; however, found no significant differences between them (Table 1).

Next, we performed immuno phenotyping with flow-cytometry (Table 2). There was no significant difference between CD4+cell percentage and absolute numbers among lymphocytes (P=1.126, P=1.825, respectively).

Due to the difficulty of Treg description, we evaluate the common definition of Treg phenotype, CD4+CD25+CD127- is most common, and percentage and absolute numbers of it didn’t vary between sulfur mustard exposed healthy volunteer (P=1.192, P=1.292, respectively). FOXP3 as an essential marker was also evaluated in the panel of Treg makers, and the percentage and absolute numbers of CD4+, CD25+, CD127, FOXP3+presented no significant difference (P=1.168, P=1.119, respectively).

According to the expression of CD45RA Treg can divided to subset CD4+CD25+CD127- FOXP3+CD45RA+, CD4+CD25+CD127- FOXP3+CD45RA- A comparison of the percentage and absolute numbers reveals no significant difference (P=1.777, P=1.679, P=1.286, P=1.24). Likewise, comparing the FOXP3 MFI among sulfur mustard exposed and healthy control confirmed no significant difference (P=0.527). There is no correlation between spyrometric parameters and Treg frequency, as shown in (Table 3).

Additionally, as the demographic and clinical characteristics of the population (Table 1) demonstrates, there is a significant difference (P=0.03) in age between the two groups. To adjust for age’s confounding effect, ANCOVA (Analysis of Covariance) was employed. Consequently, similar results were obtained.

**4. Discussion**

Concerning disturbed immune system balance and the risk of malignancy in sulfur mustard exposed patients, evaluating Treg cells’ frequency and other aspects
of immune response can shed light on monitoring injury development and prevention [9, 11, 12, 23]. In the present study, we first investigated the percentage and the absolute number of CD4+ cells. We found no significant change in the frequency of Treg cells by calculating both absolute counts and percentages in different definitions, emphasizing the Treg subset. CD4+CD25+CD127- and CD4+CD25+CD127-FOXP3+ frequencies were not different in victims of chemical weapons compared to healthy volunteers.

The frequency of CD45RA+ Treg cells as effector subset and CD45RA-Treg cells as naïve subset were studied in patients; however, no significant difference was observed compared to the control group. Furthermore, we show that FOXP3 MFI among sulfur mustard exposed patients and healthy control members have undergone no significant change.

In contrast to our findings, one study by Imani et al. demonstrated that CD4+, FOXP3+ cells increased in sulfur mustard exposed patients as Treg [17]. In COPD patients, whose symptoms and immune system status share much in common with sulfur mustard exposed patients, some studies, such as Paats et al., indicate no changes in CD4+, FOXP3+, CD25+ frequencies [24]. Some of them, such as Kalathil et al., indicated an increase in Treg cell frequency but with a defect in effector T-cell in the vicinity of Treg, using an in vitro suppression assay [25].

Controversial results between studies might be explained by the variety of Treg definitions between studies, differences in laboratory methods for examining these cells, and differences in staging and stages of chemical weapon victims’ illness. This study included patients with mild to moderate lung complications because we considered this critical stage and its changes to be helpful to clinicians for taking action against and preventing the development of severe stages. Despite the mentioned inconsistency, we can state that the inflammatory arm of the immune system overwhelms the regulatory and anti-inflammatory arms, and to confirm this claim, Treg function should be taken into account in future research. We used both CBC and flow cytometry to measure the absolute cell number in this study. We recommend performing single-platform tests by using count beads in flow cytometry.

There is no correlation between spirometric parameters and Treg cell frequency. The lack of correlation may be due to the detection of Treg in blood. Examining Treg in the site of lungs or lavage would be a better option.

**Table 1. The baseline characteristics of the research subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control Group (n=12)</th>
<th>Exposed Group (n=12)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>Adjusted by Age P (ANCOVA)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.83±7.67</td>
<td>53.09±3.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.86±2.93</td>
<td>28.62±5.21</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74.0±16.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74.09±16.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>104.73±14.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (10³/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.56±1.25</td>
<td>7.32±1.78</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte (10³/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31±0.58</td>
<td>2.61±1.03</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte (10³/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55±0.16</td>
<td>0.54±0.14</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophil (10³/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03±0.02</td>
<td>0.03±0.02</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil (10³/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19±0.1</td>
<td>0.23±0.12</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil (10³/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.48±0.86</td>
<td>3.92±1.01</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 were considered significant; **P after ANCOVA adjusting for age; ‘The mean age is significantly different between Exposed and Control groups. BMI: Body Mass Index; FVC: Forced Vital Capacity; FEV1: Forced Expiratory Volume in one second; WBC: White Blood Cell; SD: Standard Deviation.
### Table 2. Frequency of lymphocytes, CD4+ T cell, and Treg in sulfur mustard exposed patients and healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control Group (n=12)</th>
<th>Exposed Group (n=12)</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>Adjusted by Age P (ANCOVA)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD4+cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>970.34±354.79</td>
<td>951.42±380.71</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>41.29±8.18</td>
<td>36.31±5.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>90.2±59.95</td>
<td>123.0±98.26</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>3.7±2.02</td>
<td>4.38±1.69</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-, FOXP3+ Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>34.6±24.35</td>
<td>37.95±16.33</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-, FOXP3+ Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>1.4±0.83</td>
<td>1.51±0.47</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA+ Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>12.3±9.72</td>
<td>8.56±5.56</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA+ Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.5±0.34</td>
<td>0.36±0.23</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA-Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>23.17±15.34</td>
<td>30.03±14.46</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA-Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.97±0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFI of FOXP3 in CD4+CD25+CD127low FOXP3+ Treg cells</td>
<td>7046.7±1659.849</td>
<td>7691.3±1168.28</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 was considered significant; **P after ANCOVA adjusting for age; WBC: White Blood Cell; SD: Standard Deviation; MFI: Mean Fluorescent Intensity.

### Table 3. Correlation between spirometric parameters and Treg frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>FEV1</th>
<th>FEV1/FVC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-,FOXP3+ Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+CD25+CD127-,FOXP3+ Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA+ Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA+ Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA-Treg cells (cells/µL)</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+,CD25+,CD127-,FOXP3+,CD45RA-Treg cells (% lymphocytes)</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r: Spearman’s correlation coefficient; P<0.05 were considered significant; FVC: Forced Vital Capacity, FEV1: Forced Expiratory Volume in one second.
Figure 1. The flowcytometry analysis of Treg cells

Left to right: A: PBMC were initially gated based on SSC-H versus SSC-W and FSC-H versus FSC-W to exclude doublet cells. Next, The lymphocytes gate was determined by an FSC-A versus side-scatter area (SSC-A) plot, then CD25 was plotted against CD127 in CD4+T live lymphocytes and CD25+CD127- based on FOXP3 and CD45RA divided into 2 subtype CD4+CD25+CD127-FOXP3+CD45RA+ and CD4+CD25+CD127-FOXP3+CD45RA- and based on FOXP3 and SSC-A into CD4+CD25+CD127-FOXP3+ and CD4+CD25+CD127-FOXP3; B: FMO (fluorescence minus one) control prepared to determine correct gating for CD25 and CD45; C) FOXP3 expression level in CD4+CD25+CD127-FOXP3+gated Treg cell.
5. Conclusion

The frequency of Treg cells revealed no significant difference between patients exposed to sulfur mustard, who suffer from mild pulmonary complications, and healthy volunteers. To better understand the role of these cells in pulmonary complications of the patients, further studies are necessary.
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